Investigations into History Tools for User Support

Alison Lee

A thesis submitted in conformity with the requirements
for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy,
Graduate Department of Computer Science, at the
University of Toronto

© Copyright 1992 by Alison Lee

In the Memory of
My Grandparents

A man, viewed as a behaving system,
is quite simple. The apparent complexity
of his behaviour over time is largely
a reflection of the complexity of the environment
in which he finds himself.

Herbert A. Simon,
The Science of the Artificial,
MIT Press (1969).

Table of Conten ts

Table of Contents i

Abstract vii

For The Record ix

Acknowledgements xi

Chapter 1: Introduction 1
Motivation 2
Considerations in the Design of User Support 2
Users are Individuals 2
User Behaviours are Variable 3
User–Computer Interactions are Complex and Difficult 4
Implications for User Support Endeavours 5
History Tools : A Proposed User–Support Candidate 5
User Support Capabilities Rely on History Information 6
Humans Draw Heavily from Past Experiences 6
History–Cued Problem Solving Facilitates Learning 6
History Information Helps Users Cope with Improvisation 7
History Tools Relieve Cognitive and Physical Burdens 8
Problem Statement 8
Dissertation Roadmap 8

Chapter 2: Uses of Information in a History 11
Taxonomy 11
History for Reuse 13
Reuse a Script Literally 13
Reuse an Interaction with Possible Modifications 14
Reuse Operations as a Functional Group 15
History for Inter–referential I/O 18

History for Error Recovery 18
Command Correction 19
System Recovery 19
History for Navigation 20
History for Reminding 20
User Consultation 20
Visual Cues 22
History for User Modelling 23
History for User Interface Adaptation 23
Assessment 23
Results and Discussion 24
Concluding Remarks 26

Chapter 3: Cognitive and Behavioural Issues 27
Contents of a User History 27
Actions and Objects Referenced in Actions 28
User Inputs and System Responses 28
States As Well As Transitions 29
Specific Information and Peripheral Information 29
Externalized Information and Internalized Information 30
User and Behavioural Information 31
Basic History Components 31
Collection of History 32
Selective Logs 32
User Collection Tools 32
Presentation of History 33
Spontaneous Reminding 33
Deliberate Reminding 34
Visual Scan and/or Search 34
Problem Solving and Improvisations 34
Submission of History Item 35
Identification 35
Modification 36
Retraction 36
Coordination 36
Administration of History 37
Separating Independent Histories 37
Accessing History Information 38
Aging, Saving and Discarding History Information 38
Concluding Remarks 39

Chapter 4: User Interaction Behaviour and History Usage 41
Behavioural Studies of User Interactions and History Use 41
Exploratory Study of User Interactions and History Usage 42
Method 43
UNIX Shells and History Tools 43
Data Collection 44
Subjects 45
Procedure 45
Results 45
Three Behavioural Patterns 46
History–Tool Functions Used by csh Subjects 46
History–Tool Functions Used by tcsh Subjects 48
Discussion 48
Concluding Remarks 49

Chapter 5: Locality in User Interactions 51
Program Memory Reference Research 51
Working Sets 52
Locality 52
Relevance to the Study of Recurrences 54
Previous HCI Attempts to Apply these Concepts 56
Importance of Considering Locality 56
Users' Session Traces 58
Study 1 : Does Locality Exist in User Interactions? 58
Results and Discussion 59
Locality in Full Command Lines 59
Locality in Command Names Only 64
Study 2 : Does Locality Exist By Chance? 68
Statistical Test 68
Method 69
Results and Discussion 69
Study 3 : Does Locality Enhance the Recurrence Picture? 69
Study 3A: History–for–Reuse Occurs Within a Locality 69
Method 72
Results and Discussion 72
Study 3B: Locality and Prediction of Reuse Candidates 74
Method 74
Results and Discussion 74
Concluding Remarks 77

Chapter 6: User Effort in the Command Specification Task 79
User Effort Study 79

Analytic Methodology 80
User Effort 81
Command–Specification Task and Methods 81
Command Feature 82
History Menu 82
Step Buffer 83
Constraints of the Study 83
Estimates for Predictions 84
MHP Operators 84
Application–Specific Parameters 86
Procedure 87
Results and Discussion 90
Human Performance within a Class of History Designs 90
Human Performance between Classes of History Designs 91
Typing versus Using a History Tool 93
Accuracy of the Cognitive Models' Predictions 95
Method 95
Subjects 96
Materials and Apparatus 96
Tasks 96
Procedure 97
Results and Discussion 98
Remarks about Our Studies 104
Problems with Cognitive Modelling 104
Future Work 105
Concluding Remarks 106

Chapter 7: Conclusion 109
Contributions 109
Future Directions 111

Appendix A: Selected Systems Supporting History Tools 113

Appendix B: Locality Detection Algorithm 115

Appendix C: Cognitive Modelling Framework 119
Model Human Processor 119
GOMS 121
Critical Elements of a Cognitive Model 121
Shortcomings of Engineering Models 123
Extensions to MHP and GOMS 123
GOMS Model of Immediate Behaviour 124
ARTLESS : An Algorithmic Response–Time Language 124

Cost Scheme for an ARTLESS Algorithm 126

Appendix D: Visual Search of a History Menu 127
Estimation of Visual Search Operator for a History Menu 127
Method 128
Subjects 128
Materials and Apparatus 129
Scenarios in Locality and Non–Locality Experiment 129
Procedure 130
Results and Discussion 131
Number of Errors 131
Search Times 133
Time Parameter for the Pure Menu Search Operator 135
Concluding Remarks 138

Appendix E: Task Descriptions for Proposed Designs 139

Appendix F: Task Descriptions for Experimental Tasks 151

Bibliography 157

Abstract

History tools allow users to access past interactions kept in a *history* and to incorporate them into the context of their current operations. Such tools appear in various forms in many of today's computing systems, but despite their prevalence, they have received little attention as user support tools. This dissertation investigates, through a series of studies, history–based, user support tools. The studies focus on three primary factors influencing the utility of history–based, user support tools: design of history tools, support of a behavioural phenomenon in user interactions, and mental and physical effort associated with using history tools.

Design of history tools strongly influences a user's perception of their utility. In surveying a wide collection of history tools, we identify seven independent uses of the information with no single history tool supporting all seven uses. Based on cognitive and behavioural considerations associated with the seven history uses, we propose several kinds of history information and history functions that need to be supported in new designs of history tools integrating all seven uses of history.

An exploratory study of the UNIX environment reveals that user interactions exhibit a behavioural phenomenon, nominally referred to as *locality* . This is the phenomenon where users repeatedly reference a small group of commands during extended intervals of their session. We apply two concepts from computer memory research (i.e., working sets and locality) to examine this behavioural artifact and to propose a strategy for predicting repetitive opportunities and candidates. Our studies reveal that users exhibit locality in only 31% of their sessions whereas users repeat individual commands in 75% of their sessions. We also found that history tool use occurs primarily in locality periods. Thus, history tools which localize their prediction opportunities to locality periods can predict effectively the reuse candidates.

Finally, the effort, mental and physical, associated with using a history tool to expedite repetitive commands can influence a user's decision to use history tools. We analyze the human–information–processing operations involved in the task of specifying a recurrent command for a given approach and design (assuming that the command is fully generated and resides in the user's working memory and that users exhibit expert, error–free task performance behaviour). We find that in most of the proposed history designs, users expend less physical effort at the expense of more mental effort. The increased mental effort can be alleviated by providing history tools which require simpler mental operations (e.g., working memory retrievals and perceptual processing). Also, we find that the typing

approach requires less mental effort at the expense of more physical effort. Finally, despite the overhead associated with switching to the use of history tools, users (with a typing speed of 55 wpm or less) do expend less overall effort to specify recurrent commands (which have been generated and appear in working memory) using history tools compared to typing from scratch.

The results of the three sets of studies provide insights into current history tools and point favourably towards the use of history tools for user support, especially history tools that support the reuse of previous commands, but additional research into history tool designs and usability factors is needed. Our studies demonstrate the importance of considering various psychological and behavioural factors and the importance of different grains of analysis.

For The Record

Earlier versions of the following chapters were published or presented in 5 conferences, 1 workshop, and 1 book.

Chapter 1 is an abridged version of the paper appearing in Lee (1992a).

Chapter 2 is a revised version of a paper appearing in Lee (1990b).

Chapter 3 is a substantially revised version of a paper appearing in Lee (1990a).

Chapter 4 is a revised version of a paper appearing in Lee and Lochovsky (1990a).

Chapter 5 is a revised version of a paper appearing in Lee and Lochovsky (1990b).

The experiment in the section entitled "Accuracy of the Cognitive Models' Predictions" in Chapter 6 is a longer version of a short talk appearing in Lee (1992b).

The visual search experiment in Appendix D is a longer version of a poster appearing in Lee and Lochovsky (1991).

Acknowledgements

I am indebted to a great number of people who have made this research experience an enjoyable, enriching, and enlightening one. I hope I got everyone and I apologize for any oversight.

Fred Lochovsky, my supervisor, has been extremely supportive of my choice of research and has provided encouragement and guidance throughout the process. His faith in my abilities to tackle this dissertation has given me confidence during many a difficult period. Marilyn Mantei, my internal appraiser, has been an enthusiastic supporter and invaluable educator and colleague. Her input and suggestions have helped to shape the work described in Chapters 5 and 6. I value the countless number of hours of discussion that we had and I will dearly miss them. Ron Baecker's constructive critique have substantially improved the clarity and overall presentation of the thesis. The other members of my committee – Joan Cherry from FLIS, John Mylopoulos, and Dave Wortman – have been extremely patient and remained interested throughout the long road to completion. Ian Witten arranged his travel plans in order to attend the examination as the external examiner. His interest and comments are appreciated.

My research has benefited from the input of many people. Scott Graham generously answered questions about the research on working sets. Rob Tibshrani and Ruth Croxford from Statistics provided much needed advice on statistical analysis. Abi Sellen assisted with experimental design and analysis. Avi Naiman introduced me to SPLUS and helped me develop programs. Michael Gelblum, Avi Naiman, Alan Rosenthal, Kevin Schlueter, Mark Tapia were always willing to listen and to provide comments. Saul Greenberg from the University of Calgary kindly shared the user trace data he collected. This data was invaluable in the studies I conducted in Chapter 5. Furthermore, his research has influenced my investigation of history tools. Early in my research, I was fortunate to have spent two summers at Xerox PARC. Bill Jackson and Ken Pier introduced me to several of their programming environments in which the idea of using history tools for user support was born. Dan Swinehart and Polle Zelleweger allowed me to explore the use of history tools which led to the work described in Chapters 2 and 3. Gary Hardock, Gord Kurtenbach, Avi Naiman, Kevin Schlueter, Ian Small, Mark Tapia commented on early drafts of the thesis. Kevin Schlueter deserves an extra note of thanks for persisting through several early versions and helped me to express my ideas appropriately. Finally, many individuals who shall remain anonymous volunteered as subjects for my three experiments. Without them, there would not have been a thesis.

I have enjoyed the spirit of collegiality that pervades the group of individuals in the DGP lab. Thanks to Ron Baecker, Bill Buxton, Eugene Fiume, Alain Fournier, and Marilyn Mantei for providing a truly dynamic and stimulating environment and for allowing me to work there.

I have formed many friendships during my time in the department. Aside from the people mentioned earlier, others include John Amanatides, John Buchanan, Elsa Campuzano, Jimmy Chui, Arvind Gupta, Ralph Hill, Iva Lu, Kelly Mawby, Tom Milligan, Moira Minoughan, Marc Ouellette, Mike Papper, Pierre Poulin, Maria Raso, and Carson Woo. Thanks to Pierre Poulin and Marc Ouellette for organizing softball and broomball. I worked off a lot of frustrations on the squash and racquetball courts with the help of Richard Cleve, Gary Hardock, Avi Naiman, and Blaine Price.

I gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by the Department of Computer Science, the Ontario Graduate Studies fund, and the University of Toronto Open Fellowship. George Drettakis, Jeff Lee, Carson Schutze, Ian Small, and Dmitri Nastos in DGP, John DiMarco in DB & OIS, and Brian Down and Sandra Smith at CSRI have been very helpful in dealing with system administration problems and requests. Thanks to Anna Heron, Penny Marchand, Teresa Miao, and Kathy Yen for dealing with many administrative details.

Most importantly, my parents – Agnes and Anthony – and Lucia, Ignatius, and Andrew have provided lots of support, encouragement, and love.